Thread Synchronization & Deadlock
Background

- Concurrent access to shared data may result in data inconsistency
- Maintaining data consistency requires mechanisms to ensure the orderly execution of cooperating threads
- Suppose that we wanted to provide a solution to the producer-consumer problem that fills all the buffers. We can do so by having an integer count that keeps track of the number of full buffers. Initially, count is set to 0. It is incremented by the producer after it produces a new buffer and is decremented by the consumer after it consumes a buffer.
while (true)

/* produce an item and put in nextProduced */
while (count == BUFFER_SIZE)
    ; // do nothing
buffer [in] = nextProduced;
in = (in + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
count++;
while (1) {
    while (count == 0) {
        // do nothing
        nextConsumed = buffer[out];
        out = (out + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
        count--;
    }
    /* consume the item in nextConsumed */
}
Race Condition

- `count++` could be implemented as

  ```
  register1 = count
  register1 = register1 + 1
  count = register1
  ```

- `count--` could be implemented as

  ```
  register2 = count
  register2 = register2 - 1
  count = register2
  ```

- Consider this execution interleaving with “count = 5” initially:

  ```
  S0: producer execute register1 = count {register1 = 5}
  S1: producer execute register1 = register1 + 1 {register1 = 6}
  S2: consumer execute register2 = count {register2 = 5}
  S3: consumer execute register2 = register2 - 1 {register2 = 4}
  S4: producer execute count = register1 {count = 6}
  S5: consumer execute count = register2 {count = 4}
  ```
Solution to Critical-Section Problem

1. Mutual Exclusion - If thread $T_i$ is executing in its critical section with respect to a particular resource, then no other threads can be executing in their critical sections.

2. Progress - If no thread is executing in its critical section and there exist some threads that wish to enter their critical section, then the selection of the thread that will enter the critical section next cannot be postponed indefinitely.

3. Bounded Waiting - A bound must exist on the number of times that other threads are allowed to enter their critical sections after a thread has made a request to enter its critical section and before that request is granted.
   - Assume that each thread executes at a nonzero speed.
   - No assumption concerning relative speed of the $N$ threads.
Synchronization Hardware

• Many systems provide hardware support for critical section code

• Uniprocessors – could disable interrupts
  ◦ Currently running code would execute without preemption
  ◦ Generally too inefficient on multiprocessor systems
    • Operating systems that use this technique are not broadly scalable

• Modern machines provide special atomic hardware instructions
  ◦ Atomic = non-interruptable
  ◦ Two types of atomic hardware instructions:
    ◦ test memory word and set value in one atomic operation
    ◦ swap contents of two memory words in one atomic operation
TestAndSet Instruction

- Definition of the functionality:

```c
boolean TestAndSet (boolean *target)
{
    boolean rv = *target;
    *target = TRUE;
    return rv;
}
```
Solution using TestAndSet

- Shared boolean variable `lock`, initialized to false.
- Solution:
  ```
  do {
    while ( TestAndSet (&lock ))
    ;  /* do nothing */

    //   critical section

    lock = FALSE;

    //   remainder section

  } while ( TRUE);
  ```
Swap Instruction

Definition of the functionality:

```c
void Swap (boolean *a, boolean *b) {
    boolean temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}
```
Solution using Swap

- Shared Boolean variable `lock` initialized to FALSE; Each thread has a local Boolean variable `key`.
- Solution:
  ```
  do {
    key = TRUE;
    while ( key == TRUE)
      Swap (&lock, &key );
  //     critical section

  lock = FALSE;
  //     remainder section
  }
  while ( TRUE);
  ```

Semaphore

- We need a synchronization tool that does not require busy waiting
- Semaphore $S$ – integer variable
- Two standard operations modify $S$: **wait()** and **signal()**
  - Originally called **P()** and **V()** [Proberen(test) and Verhogen(increment) in Dutch]
- Less complicated than using **TestAndSet** and **Swap**
- A semaphore can only be accessed via two indivisible (atomic) operations
- Definition of the functionality of **wait()** and **signal()**
  - **wait (S) {**
    - **while S <= 0**
      - ; // no-op
    - **S--;**
  - **}**
  - **signal (S) {**
    - **S++;**
  - **}**
Semaphore as General Synchronization Tool

- **Counting** semaphore – integer value can range over an unrestricted domain
- **Binary** semaphore – integer value can range only between 0 and 1; can be simpler to implement
  - Also known as mutex locks
- Provides mutual exclusion
  - `Semaphore S;  // initialized to 1`
  - `wait (S);`
  - `Critical Section`
  - `signal (S);`
Semaphore Implementation

- The implementation of semaphores in the kernel must guarantee that no two threads can execute `wait()` and `signal()` on the same semaphore at the same time – i.e. that they are atomic.
- The previous definitions for `wait()` and `signal()` required busy waiting over the integer values.
- Busy waiting is almost never a good idea unless the system is structured so that it happens infrequently.
- Applications may spend lots of time in critical sections and therefore this is not a good solution.
Semaphore Implementation with no Busy waiting

- With each semaphore there is an associated waiting queue. Each entry in a waiting queue has two data items:
  - thread control block for the waiting thread
  - pointer to next entry in the queue

- Two operations:
  - block – place the thread invoking the operation on the appropriate waiting queue.
  - wakeup – remove one of threads in the waiting queue and place it in the ready queue.
Semaphore Implementation with no Busy waiting (Cont.)

- Implementation of wait:

  ```
  wait (S){
      value--;
      if (value <= 0) {
          add this thread to waiting queue
          block();
      }
  }
  ```

- Implementation of signal:

  ```
  Signal (S){
      value++;
      if (value <= 0) {
          remove a thread T from the waiting queue
          wakeup(T); 
      }
  }
  ```
Deadlock and Starvation

- **Deadlock** – two or more threads are waiting indefinitely for an event that can only be caused by one of the waiting threads.
- Let $S$ and $Q$ be two semaphores initialized to 1.

\[
\begin{align*}
T_0 & \quad T_1 \\
\text{wait (S);} & \quad \text{wait (Q);} \\
\text{wait (Q);} & \quad \text{wait (S);} \\
. & \quad . \\
. & \quad . \\
. & \quad . \\
\text{signal (S);} & \quad \text{signal (Q);} \\
\text{signal (Q);} & \quad \text{signal (S);} \\
\end{align*}
\]

- **Starvation** – indefinite blocking. A thread may never be removed from the semaphore queue in which it is suspended.
Classical Problems of Synchronization

- Bounded-Buffer Problem
- Readers and Writers Problem (in textbook)
- Dining-Philosophers Problem (in textbook)
Bounded-Buffer Problem

- $N$ buffers, each can hold one item
- Semaphore `mutex` initialized to the value 1
- Semaphore `full` initialized to the value 0
- Semaphore `empty` initialized to the value $N$. 
The structure of the producer thread

do {

    // produce an item

    wait (empty);
    wait (mutex);

    // add the item to the buffer

    signal (mutex);
    signal (full);
} while (true);
Bounded Buffer Problem (Cont.)

- The structure of the consumer thread

```c
    do {
       wait (full);
       wait (mutex);

       // remove an item from buffer

       signal (mutex);
       signal (empty);

       // consume the removed item

       } while (true);
```
Problems with Semaphores

- Incorrect use of semaphore operations:
  - signal (mutex) .... wait (mutex)
  - wait (mutex) ... wait (mutex)
  - Omitting wait (mutex) or signal (mutex) (or both)
Monitors

- A high-level abstraction that provides a convenient and effective mechanism for thread synchronization
- Only one thread may be active within the monitor at a time

```java
monitor monitor-name
{
    // shared variable declarations
    procedure P1 (...) { .... }
    ...
    procedure Pn (...) {......}
    Initialization code ( ....) { ... }
    ...
}
```
Schematic view of a Monitor
Condition Variables

- `condition x, y;`

- Two operations on a condition variable:
  - `x.wait()` – the thread that invokes the operation is suspended.
  - `x.signal()` – resumes one of the threads (if any) that invoked `x.wait()`
  - `x.broadcast()` – resumes all of the threads (if any) that invoked `x.wait()`
Monitor with Condition Variables

- Entry queue
- Shared data
- Queues associated with $x$, $y$ conditions
- Operations
- Initialization code
Linux Synchronization

- Linux:
  - disables interrupts to implement short critical sections

- Linux provides:
  - semaphores
  - spin locks
Pthreads Synchronization

Pthreads API is OS-independent

It provides:
  ◦ mutex locks
  ◦ condition variables

Non-portable extensions include:
  ◦ read-write locks
  ◦ spin locks
Java Synchronization

- Monitors using the *synchronized* keyword
- Condition variables using `wait()`, `notify()` and `notifyAll()`
Deadlocks
Chapter Objectives

- To develop a description of deadlocks, which prevent sets of concurrent processes from completing their tasks.
- To present a number of different methods for preventing or avoiding deadlocks in a computer system.
The Deadlock Problem

- A set of blocked processes each holding a resource and waiting to acquire a resource held by another process in the set.

- Example
  - System has 2 tape drives.
  - \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) each hold one tape drive and each needs another one.

- Example
  - semaphores \( A \) and \( B \), initialized to 1

\[
\begin{align*}
P_0 & \quad \quad \quad P_1 \\
wait (A); & \quad wait (B) \\
wait (B); & \quad wait (A)
\end{align*}
\]
Bridge Crossing Example

- Traffic only in one direction.
- Each section of a bridge can be viewed as a resource.
- If a deadlock occurs, it can be resolved if one car backs up (preempt resources and rollback).
- Several cars may have to be backed up if a deadlock occurs.
- Starvation is possible.
System Model

- Resource types $R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_m$
  - CPU cycles, memory space, I/O devices
- Each resource type $R_i$ has $W_i$ instances.
- Each process utilizes a resource as follows:
  - request
  - use
  - release
Deadlock Characterization

Deadlock can arise if four conditions hold *simultaneously*.

- **Mutual exclusion**: only one process at a time can use a resource.
- **Hold and wait**: a process holding at least one resource is waiting to acquire additional resources held by other processes.
- **No preemption**: a resource can be released only voluntarily by the process holding it, after that process has completed its task.
- **Circular wait**: there exists a set \( \{P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_0\} \) of waiting processes such that
  - \( P_0 \) is waiting for a resource that is held by \( P_1 \)
  - \( P_1 \) is waiting for a resource that is held by \( P_2 \)
  - \( \ldots \)
  - \( P_{n-1} \) is waiting for a resource that is held by \( P_n \)
  - \( P_n \) is waiting for a resource that is held by \( P_0 \).
Resource-Allocation Graph

A set of vertices $V$ and a set of edges $E$.

- $V$ is partitioned into two types:
  - $P = \{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_n\}$, the set consisting of all the processes in the system.
  - $R = \{R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_m\}$, the set consisting of all resource types in the system.

- request edge – directed edge $P_i \rightarrow R_j$
- assignment edge – directed edge $R_j \rightarrow P_i$
Resource-Allocation Graph (Cont.)

- Process

- Resource Type with 4 instances

- $P_i$ requests instance of $R_j$

- $P_i$ is holding an instance of $R_j$
Example of a Resource Allocation Graph
Resource Allocation Graph With A Deadlock

![Resource Allocation Graph](image)

- **Resource Allocation Graph**
  - Processes: $P_1$, $P_2$, $P_3$
  - Resources: $R_1$, $R_3$, $R_2$, $R_4$

Diagram shows the allocation of resources to processes, highlighting potential deadlock conditions.
Resource Allocation Graph With A Cycle But No Deadlock
Basic Facts

- If graph contains no cycles $\Rightarrow$ no deadlock.

- If graph contains a cycle $\Rightarrow$
  - if only one instance per resource type, then deadlock.
  - if several instances per resource type, possibility of deadlock.
Methods for Handling Deadlocks

- Ensure that the system will *never* enter a deadlock state.

- Allow the system to enter a deadlock state and then recover.

- Ignore the problem and pretend that deadlocks never occur in the system; used by most operating systems, including UNIX.
Deadlock Prevention

Restrain the ways request can be made.

- **Mutual Exclusion** – not required for sharable resources; must hold for nonsharable resources.

- **Hold and Wait** – must guarantee that whenever a process requests a resource, it does not hold any other resources.
  - Require process to request and be allocated all its resources before it begins execution, or allow process to request resources only when the process has none.
  - Low resource utilization; starvation possible.
Deadlock Prevention (Cont.)

- **No Preemption** –
  - If a process that is holding some resources requests another resource that cannot be immediately allocated to it, then all resources currently being held are released.
  - Preempted resources are added to the list of resources for which the process is waiting.
  - Process will be restarted only when it can regain its old resources, as well as the new ones that it is requesting.

- **Circular Wait** – impose a total ordering of all resource types, and require that each process requests resources in an increasing order of enumeration.
Deadlock Avoidance

Requires that the system has some additional *a priori* information available.

- Simplest and most useful model requires that each process declare the *maximum number* of resources of each type that it may need.

- The deadlock-avoidance algorithm dynamically examines the resource-allocation state to ensure that there can never be a circular-wait condition.

- Resource-allocation *state* is defined by the number of available and allocated resources, and the maximum demands of the processes.
Safe State

- When a process requests an available resource, the system must decide if immediate allocation leaves the system in a safe state.

- System is in a safe state if there exists a safe sequence of all processes.

- Sequence \(<P_1, P_2, ..., P_n>\) is safe if for each \(P_i\), the resources that \(P_i\) can still request can be satisfied by currently available resources + resources held by all the \(P_j\) with \(j < i\).
  - If \(P_j\) resource needs are not immediately available, then \(P_i\) can wait until all \(P_j\) have finished.
  - When \(P_j\) is finished, \(P_i\) can obtain needed resources, execute, return allocated resources, and terminate.
  - When \(P_i\) terminates, \(P_{i+1}\) can obtain its needed resources, and so on.
Basic Facts

- If a system is in safe state $\Rightarrow$ no deadlocks.

- If a system is in unsafe state $\Rightarrow$ possibility of deadlock.

- Avoidance $\Rightarrow$ ensure that a system will never enter an unsafe state.
Safe, Unsafe, Deadlock State
Resource-Allocation Graph Algorithm

- **Claim edge** $P_i \rightarrow R_j$ indicated that process $P_j$ may request resource $R_j$; represented by a dashed line.

- Claim edge converts to request edge when a process requests a resource.

- When a resource is released by a process, assignment edge reconverts to a claim edge.

- Resources must be claimed *a priori* in the system.
Resource-Allocation Graph For Deadlock Avoidance
Unsafe State In Resource-Allocation Graph
Banker’s Algorithm

- Multiple instances.
- Each process must a priori claim maximum use.
- When a process requests a resource it may have to wait.
- When a process gets all its resources it must return them in a finite amount of time.
Let $n =$ number of processes, and $m =$ number of resources types.

- **Available**: Vector of length $m$. If available $[j] = k$, there are $k$ instances of resource type $R_j$ available.
- **Max**: $n \times m$ matrix. If $Max[i,j] = k$, then process $P_i$ may request at most $k$ instances of resource type $R_j$.
- **Allocation**: $n \times m$ matrix. If $Allocation[i,j] = k$ then $P_i$ is currently allocated $k$ instances of $R_j$.
- **Need**: $n \times m$ matrix. If $Need[i,j] = k$, then $P_i$ may need $k$ more instances of $R_j$ to complete its task.

Safety Algorithm

1. Let Work and Finish be vectors of length m and n, respectively. Initialize:
   
   \[
   \begin{align*}
   & \text{Work} = \text{Available} \\
   & \text{Finish}[i] = \text{false} \text{ for } i = 1, 3, \ldots, n.
   \end{align*}
   \]

2. Find an \( i \) such that both:
   
   (a) \( \text{Finish}[i] = \text{false} \)
   
   (b) \( \text{Need}_i \leq \text{Work} \)

   If no such \( i \) exists, go to step 4.

3. \( \text{Work} = \text{Work} + \text{Allocation}_i \)
   
   \( \text{Finish}[i] = \text{true} \)
   
   go to step 2.

4. If \( \text{Finish}[i] == \text{true} \) for all \( i \), then the system is in a safe state.
Resource-Request Algorithm for Process $P_i$

$\text{Request} = \text{request vector for process } P_i$. If $\text{Request}_i[j] = k$ then process $P_i$ wants $k$ instances of resource type $R_j$.

1. If $\text{Request}_i \leq \text{Need}_i$, go to step 2. Otherwise, raise error condition, since process has exceeded its maximum claim.

2. If $\text{Request}_i \leq \text{Available}$, go to step 3. Otherwise $P_i$ must wait, since resources are not available.

3. Pretend to allocate requested resources to $P_i$ by modifying the state as follows:

- $\text{Available} = \text{Available} - \text{Request}_i$;
- $\text{Allocation}_i = \text{Allocation}_i + \text{Request}_i$;
- $\text{Need}_i = \text{Need}_i - \text{Request}_i$;

   - **If safe** $\Rightarrow$ the resources are allocated to $P_i$.
   - **If unsafe** $\Rightarrow$ $P_i$ must wait, and the old resource-allocation state is restored.
Example of Banker’s Algorithm

- 5 processes $P_0$ through $P_4$; 3 resource types $A$ (10 instances), $B$ (5 instances), and $C$ (7 instances).
- Snapshot at time $T_0$:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allocation</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A$ $B$ $C$</td>
<td>$A$ $B$ $C$</td>
<td>$A$ $B$ $C$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_0$ 0 1 0</td>
<td>7 5 3</td>
<td>3 3 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_1$ 2 0 0</td>
<td>3 2 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_2$ 3 0 2</td>
<td>9 0 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_3$ 2 1 1</td>
<td>2 2 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_4$ 0 0 2</td>
<td>4 3 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example (Cont.)

- The content of the matrix Need is defined to be Max – Allocation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Need</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₀</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₁</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The system is in a safe state since the sequence $< P₁, P₃, P₄, P₂, P₀ >$ satisfies safety criteria.
Example $P_1$ Request $(1,0,2)$ (Cont.)

- Check that Request $\leq$ Available (that is, $(1,0,2) \leq (3,3,2) \Rightarrow true$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allocation</th>
<th>Need</th>
<th>Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A B C</td>
<td>A B C</td>
<td>A B C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_0$</td>
<td>0 1 0</td>
<td>7 4 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_1$</td>
<td>3 0 2</td>
<td>0 2 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_2$</td>
<td>3 0 1</td>
<td>6 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_3$</td>
<td>2 1 1</td>
<td>0 1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_4$</td>
<td>0 0 2</td>
<td>4 3 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Executing safety algorithm shows that sequence $<P_1, P_3, P_4, P_0, P_2>$ satisfies safety requirement.

- Can request for $(3,3,0)$ by $P_4$ be granted?
- Can request for $(0,2,0)$ by $P_0$ be granted?
Deadlock Detection

- Allow system to enter deadlock state
- Detection algorithm
- Recovery scheme
Single Instance of Each Resource Type

• Maintain *wait-for* graph
  ◦ Nodes are processes.
  ◦ \( P_i \rightarrow P_j \) if \( P_i \) is waiting for \( P_j \).

• Periodically invoke an algorithm that searches for a cycle in the graph.

• An algorithm to detect a cycle in a graph requires an order of \( n^2 \) operations, where \( n \) is the number of vertices in the graph.
Resource-Allocation Graph and Wait-for Graph

Resource-Allocation Graph

Corresponding wait-for graph
Several Instances of a Resource Type

- **Available**: A vector of length $m$ indicates the number of available resources of each type.

- **Allocation**: An $n \times m$ matrix defines the number of resources of each type currently allocated to each process.

- **Request**: An $n \times m$ matrix indicates the current request of each process. If $\text{Request}_{ij} = k$, then process $P_i$ is requesting $k$ more instances of resource type $R_j$. 
Detection Algorithm

1. Let Work and Finish be vectors of length m and n, respectively. Initialize:
   (a) Work = Available
   (b) For i = 1,2, ..., n, if Allocationi ≠ 0, then Finish[i] = false; otherwise, Finish[i] = true.

2. Find an index i such that both:
   (a) Finish[i] == false
   (b) Requesti ≤ Work

If no such i exists, go to step 4.
Detection Algorithm (Cont.)

3. Work = Work + Allocation
   Finish[i] = true
   go to step 2.

4. If Finish[i] == false, for some i, 1 ≤ i ≤ n, then the system is in deadlock state. Moreover, if Finish[i] == false, then P_i is deadlocked.

Algorithm requires an order of O(m x n^2) operations to detect whether the system is in deadlocked state.
Example of Detection Algorithm

- Five processes $P_0$ through $P_4$; three resource types $A$ (7 instances), $B$ (2 instances), and $C$ (6 instances).
- Snapshot at time $T_0$:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allocation</th>
<th>Request</th>
<th>Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A B C</td>
<td>A B C</td>
<td>A B C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_0$ 010</td>
<td>000</td>
<td>000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_1$ 200</td>
<td>202</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_2$ 303</td>
<td>000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_3$ 211</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_4$ 002</td>
<td>002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Sequence $<P_0, P_2, P_3, P_1, P_4>$ will result in $Finish[i] = true$ for all $i$. 
Example (Cont.)

- $P_2$ requests an additional instance of type $C$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Request</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A B C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_0$ 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_1$ 2 0 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_2$ 0 0 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_3$ 1 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_4$ 0 0 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- State of system?
  - Can reclaim resources held by process $P_0$, but insufficient resources to fulfill other processes; requests.
  - Deadlock exists, consisting of processes $P_1$, $P_2$, $P_3$, and $P_4$. 
Detection-Algorithm Usage

- When, and how often, to invoke depends on:
  - How often a deadlock is likely to occur?
  - How many processes will need to be rolled back?
    - one for each disjoint cycle

- If detection algorithm is invoked arbitrarily, there may be many cycles in the resource graph and so we would not be able to tell which of the many deadlocked processes “caused” the deadlock.
Recovery from Deadlock: Process Termination

- Abort all deadlocked processes.

- Abort one process at a time until the deadlock cycle is eliminated.

- In which order should we choose to abort?
  - Priority of the process.
  - How long process has computed, and how much longer to completion.
  - Resources the process has used.
  - Resources process needs to complete.
  - How many processes will need to be terminated.
  - Is process interactive or batch?
Recovery from Deadlock: Resource Preemption

- Selecting a victim – minimize cost.
- Rollback – return to some safe state, restart process for that state.
- Starvation – same process may always be picked as victim, include number of rollback in cost factor.