1. **Concise writing.** For each phrase below, rewrite as a single word, or indicate that it should be completely omitted.
   a. in view of the fact  **BECAUSE**
   b. in the near future  **SOON**
   c. in most cases  **USUALLY** or **TYPICALLY**
   d. it would appear that  **OMIT**
   e. in the vicinity of  **NEAR**
   f. it was evident that  **CLEARLY**
   g. in the event that  **IF** or **WHEN**

2. Based on the **Section and Subsection headings** for the Weinberg/Snavely paper, write a 1-2 sentence summary of their paper. Obviously, your understanding of the paper is limited by the fact that you have not yet seen the actual paper. Discuss in class.

   The paper presents a new parallel scheduling algorithm that employs user input to guide symbiotic space sharing decisions. A prototype scheduler is evaluated on a realistic application workload.

3. Based on the **Subsection headings** in Section 7 of the Sparrow paper, write a 1-2 sentence summary of their experimental evaluation. Discuss in class.

   Sparrow is evaluated on both real and synthetic workloads, regarding performance, fault tolerance, fairness, user priorities, task heterogeneity, and scalability.
4. Identify the topic sentences in the Introduction to the Weinberg paper
   (mark with pen or highlighter and turn in with this quiz)

   Second (first sentence just sets the stage)
   First
   First
   First
   Either first or second (they say the same thing in different ways)
   Either first or second (ditto)

5. Identify the topic sentences in the Introduction to the Sparrow paper.
   (mark with pen or highlighter and turn in with this quiz)

   Second (first sentence just sets the stage)
   First
   First
   First
   First
   First
   Second (“Bath sampling …”)  
   Second (“Late binding …”)
   First
   First