Logistics

- We made it through the midterm!
  - Programming part is already graded
  - Other part will be completed by Thursday’s class

- Programming labs
  - Now there to help on your projects
  - Go to get advice, help on debugging, performance analysis, socializing, ….

- Would like to meet with project groups to see how things are going
Parallel Performance and Complexity

- To use a scalable parallel computer well, you must write high-performance parallel programs.
- To get high-performance parallel programs, you must understand and optimize performance for the combination of programming model, algorithm, language, platform, …
- Unfortunately, parallel performance measurement, analysis and optimization can be an easy process.
- Parallel performance is complex.
Parallel Performance Evaluation

- Study of performance in parallel systems
  - Models and behaviors
  - Evaluative techniques

- Evaluation methodologies
  - Analytical modeling and statistical modeling
  - Simulation-based modeling
  - Empirical measurement, analysis, and modeling

- Purposes
  - Planning
  - Diagnosis
  - Tuning
Parallel Performance Engineering and Productivity

- Scalable, optimized applications deliver HPC promise
- Optimization through *performance engineering* process
  - Understand performance complexity and inefficiencies
  - Tune application to run optimally on high-end machines
- How to make the process more effective and productive?
- What performance technology should be used?
  - Performance technology part of larger environment
  - Programmability, reusability, portability, robustness
  - Application development and optimization productivity
- Process, performance technology, and its use will change as parallel systems evolve
- Goal is to deliver effective performance with high productivity value now and in the future
Motivation

- Parallel / distributed systems are complex
  - Four layers
    - Application
      - Algorithm, data structures
    - Parallel programming interface / middleware
      - Compiler, parallel libraries, communication, synchronization
    - Operating system
      - Process and memory management, IO
    - Hardware
      - CPU, memory, network
  - Mapping/interaction between different layers
Performance Factors

- Factors which determine a program's performance are complex, interrelated, and sometimes hidden

- Application related factors
  - Algorithms dataset sizes, task granularity, memory usage patterns, load balancing, I/O communication patterns

- Hardware related factors
  - Processor architecture, memory hierarchy, I/O network

- Software related factors
  - Operating system, compiler/preprocessor, communication protocols, libraries
Utilization of Computational Resources

- Resources can be under-utilized or used inefficiently
  - Identifying these circumstances can give clues to where performance problems exist
- Resources may be “virtual”
  - Not actually a physical resource (e.g., thread, process)
- Performance analysis tools are essential to optimizing an application's performance
  - Can assist you in understanding what your program is "really doing”
  - May provide suggestions how program performance should be improved
Performance Analysis and Tuning: The Basics

- Most important goal of performance tuning is to reduce a program's wall clock execution time
  - Iterative process to optimize efficiency
  - Efficiency is a relationship of execution time

- So, where does the time go?

- Find your program's hot spots and eliminate the bottlenecks in them
  - **Hot spot**: an area of code within the program that uses a disproportionately high amount of processor time
  - **Bottleneck**: an area of code within the program that uses processor resources inefficiently and therefore causes unnecessary delays

- Understand what, where, and how time is being spent
Sequential Performance

- Sequential performance is all about:
  - How time is distributed
  - What resources are used where and when

- “Sequential” factors
  - Computation
    - choosing the right algorithm is important
    - compilers can help
  - Memory systems and cache and memory
    - more difficult to assess and determine effects
    - modeling can help
  - Input / output
Parallel Performance

- Parallel performance is about sequential performance AND parallel interactions
  - Sequential performance is the performance within each thread of execution
  - “Parallel” factors lead to overheads
    - concurrency (threading, processes)
    - interprocess communication (message passing)
    - synchronization (both explicit and implicit)
  - Parallel interactions also lead to parallelism inefficiency
    - load imbalances
Sequential Performance Tuning

- Sequential performance tuning is a *time-driven* process
- Find the thing that takes the most time and make it take less time (i.e., make it more efficient)
- May lead to program restructuring
  - Changes in data storage and structure
  - Rearrangement of tasks and operations
- May look for opportunities for better resource utilization
  - Cache management is a big one
  - Locality, locality, locality!
  - Virtual memory management may also pay off
- May look for opportunities for better processor usage
Parallel Performance Tuning

- In contrast to sequential performance tuning, parallel performance tuning might be described as conflict-driven or interaction-driven.
- Find the points of parallel interactions and determine the overheads associated with them.
- Overheads can be the cost of performing the interactions:
  - Transfer of data
  - Extra operations to implement coordination
- Overheads also include time spent waiting:
  - Lack of work
  - Waiting for dependency to be satisfied
Parallel Performance Engineering Process
Parallel Performance Engineering Process
Performance Observability (Guiding Thesis)

- Performance evaluation problems define the requirements for performance analysis methods.
- Performance observability is the ability to “accurately” capture, analyze, and present (collectively observe) information about computer system/software performance.
- Tools for performance observability must balance the need for performance data against the cost of obtaining it (environment complexity, performance intrusion).
  - Too little performance data makes analysis difficult.
  - Too much data perturbs the measured system.
- Important to understand performance observability complexity and develop technology to address it.
Parallel Performance Engineering Process

- Traditionally an empirically-based approach
  - observation ⇔ experimentation ⇔ diagnosis ⇔ tuning
- Performance technology developed for each level

![Diagram of the Parallel Performance Engineering Process]

- **Performance Observation**
- **Performance Experimentation**
- **Performance Diagnosis**
- **Performance Tuning**

**Performance Technology**

- **Instrumentation**
- **Measurement**
- **Analysis**
- **Visualization**

- **Data mining**
- **Models**
- **Expert systems**

**Performance Technology**

- **Experiment management**
- **Performance storage**

**Characterization**

- **hypotheses**
- **properties**

**CIS 410/510: Parallel Computing, University of Oregon, Spring 2014**
Performance Analysis and Optimization Cycle

- **Instrumentation**: Insertion of extra code (probes, hooks) into application
- **Measurement**: Collection of data relevant to performance analysis
- **Analysis**: Calculation of metrics, identification of performance problems
- **Presentation**: Transformation of the results into a representation that can be easily understood by a human user
- **Optimization**: Elimination of performance problems
Performance Metrics and Measurement

- Observability depends on measurement
- What is able to be observed and measured?
- A metric represents a type of measured data
  - **Count**: how often some thing occurred
    - calls to a routine, cache misses, messages sent, …
  - **Duration**: how long some thing took place
    - execution time of a routine, message communication time, …
  - **Size**: how big some thing is
    - message size, memory allocated, …
- A measurement records performance data
- Certain quantities can not be measured directly
  - **Derived metric**: calculated from metrics
    - rates of some thing (e.g., flops per second) are one example
Performance Benchmarking

- Benchmarking typically involves the measurement of metrics for a particular type of evaluation
  - Standardize on an experimentation methodology
  - Standardize on a collection of benchmark programs
  - Standardize on set of metrics
- High-Performance Linpack (HPL) for Top 500
- NAS Parallel Benchmarks
- SPEC
- Typically look at MIPS and FLOPS
How Is Time Measured?

- How do we determine where the time goes?
  
  “A person with one clock knows what time it is, a person with two clocks is never sure.”
  
  Confucious (attributed)

- Clocks are not the same
  - Have different resolutions and overheads for access

- Time is an abstraction based on clock
  - Only as good (accurate) as the clock we use
  - Only as good as what we use it for
Execution Time

- There are different types of time

- **Wall-clock time**
  - Based on realtime clock (continuously running)
  - Includes time spent in all activities

- **Virtual process time** (aka *CPU time*)
  - Time when process is executing (CPU is active)
    - user time and system time (can mean different things)
  - Does not include time when process is inherently waiting

- **Parallel execution time**
  - Runs whenever *any* parallel part is executing
  - Need to define a global time basis
Observation Types

- There are two types of performance observation that determine different measurement methods
  - Direct performance observation
  - Indirect performance observation

- Direct performance observation is based on a scientific theory of measurement that considers the cost (overhead) with respect to accuracy

- Indirect performance observation is based on a sampling theory of measurement that assumes some degree of statistical stationarity
**Direct Performance Observation**

- Execution actions exposed as events
  - In general, actions reflect some execution state
    - presence at a code location or change in data
    - occurrence in parallelism context (thread of execution)
  - Events encode actions for observation

- Observation is direct
  - Direct instrumentation of program code (*probes*)
  - Instrumentation invokes performance measurement
  - Event measurement = performance data + context

- Performance experiment
  - Actual events + performance measurements
Indirect Performance Observation

- Program code instrumentation is not used
- Performance is observed indirectly
  - Execution is interrupted
    - can be triggered by different events
  - Execution state is queried (sampled)
    - different performance data measured
  - Event-based sampling (EBS)

- Performance attribution is inferred
  - Determined by execution context (state)
  - Observation resolution determined by interrupt period
  - Performance data associated with context for period
Direct Observation: Events

- Event types
  - Interval events (begin/end events)
    - measures performance between begin and end
    - metrics monotonically increase
  - Atomic events
    - used to capture performance data state
- Code events
  - Routines, classes, templates
  - Statement-level blocks, loops
- User-defined events
  - Specified by the user
- Abstract mapping events
Direct Observation: Instrumentation

- Events defined by instrumentation access
- Instrumentation levels
  - Source code
  - Library code
  - Object code
  - Executable code
  - Runtime system
  - Operating system
- Levels provide different information / semantics
- Different tools needed for each level
- Often instrumentation on multiple levels required
Direct Observation: Techniques

- Static instrumentation
  - Program instrumented prior to execution

- Dynamic instrumentation
  - Program instrumented at runtime

- Manual and automatic mechanisms

- Tool required for automatic support
  - Source time: preprocessor, translator, compiler
  - Link time: wrapper library, preload
  - Execution time: binary rewrite, dynamic

- Advantages / disadvantages
Indirect Observation: Events/Triggers

- Events are actions external to program code
  - Timer countdown, HW counter overflow, …
  - Consequence of program execution
  - Event frequency determined by:
    - type, setup, number enabled (exposed)

- Triggers used to invoke measurement tool
  - Traps when events occur (interrupt)
  - Associated with events
  - May add differentiation to events
**Indirect Observation: Context**

- When events trigger, execution context determined at time of trap (interrupt)
  - Access to PC from interrupt frame
  - Access to information about process/thread
  - Possible access to call stack
    - Requires call stack unwinder

- Assumption is that the context was the same during the preceding period
  - Between successive triggers
  - Statistical approximation valid for long running programs assuming repeated behavior
**Direct / Indirect Comparison**

- **Direct performance observation**
  - ☺ Measures performance data exactly
  - ☺ Links performance data with application events
  - ☹ Requires instrumentation of code
  - ☹ Measurement overhead can cause execution intrusion and possibly performance perturbation

- **Indirect performance observation**
  - ☺ Argued to have less overhead and intrusion
  - ☺ Can observe finer granularity
  - ☺ No code modification required (may need symbols)
  - ☹ Inexact measurement and attribution
Measurement Techniques

When is measurement triggered?

- External agent (indirect, asynchronous)
  - sampling via interrupts, hardware counter overflow, ...
- Internal agent (direct, synchronous)
  - through code modification (instrumentation)

How are measurements made (data recorded)?

- Profiling
  - summarizes performance data during execution
  - per process / thread and organized with respect to context
- Tracing
  - trace record with performance data and timestamp
  - per process / thread
Critical Issues

- Accuracy
  - Timing and counting accuracy depends on resolution
  - Any performance measurement generates *overhead*
    - execution on performance measurement code
  - Measurement overhead can lead to *intrusion*
  - Intrusion can cause *perturbation*
    - alters program behavior

- Granularity
  - How many measurements are made
  - How much overhead per measurement

- Tradeoff (general wisdom)
  - Accuracy is inversely correlated with granularity
**Measured Performance**

- Counts
- Durations
- Communication costs
- Synchronization costs
- Memory use
- Hardware counts
- System calls
Profiling

- Recording of aggregated information
  - Counts, time, …

- … about program and system entities
  - Functions, loops, basic blocks, …
  - Processes, threads

- Methods
  - Event-based sampling (indirect, statistical)
  - Direct measurement (deterministic)
Inclusive and Exclusive Profiles

- Performance with respect to code regions
- Exclusive measurements for region only
- Inclusive measurements includes child regions

```c
int f1()
{
    int a;
    a = a + 1;
    f2();
    a = a + 1;
    return a;
}
```
Flat and Callpath Profiles

- Static call graph
  - Shows all parent-child calling relationships in a program

- Dynamic call graph
  - Reflects actual execution time calling relationships

- Flat profile
  - Performance metrics for when event is active
  - Exclusive and inclusive

- Callpath profile
  - Performance metrics for calling path (event chain)
  - Differentiate performance with respect to program execution state
  - Exclusive and inclusive
Measurement Methods: Tracing

- Recording information about significant points (events) during execution of the program
  - Enter/leave a code region (function, loop, …)
  - Send/receive a message ...

- Save information in *event record*
  - Timestamp, location ID, event type
  - Any event specific information

- An *event trace*
  - Stream of event records sorted by time

- Main advantage is that it can be used to reconstruct the dynamic behavior of the parallel execution
  - Abstract execution model on level of defined events
Event Tracing

Event tracing

Process A

```c
void foo() {
    trc_enter("foo");
    ...
    trc_send(B);
    send(B, tag, buf);
    ...
    trc_exit("foo");
}
```

Process B

```c
void bar() {
    trc_enter("bar");
    ...
    recv(A, tag, buf);
    trc_recv(A);
    ...
    trc_exit("bar");
}
```

Local trace A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ENTER</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEND</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXIT</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local trace B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ENTER</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECV</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXIT</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Global trace

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ENTER</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEND</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXIT</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENTER</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECV</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXIT</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

merge

unify

1 foo
2 bar
...
Tracing: Time-line Visualization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>master</th>
<th>slave</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>master</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>slave</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...  

58  A ENTER  1
60  B ENTER  2
62  A SEND   B
64  A EXIT   1
68  B RECV   A
69  B EXIT   2

...
Trace File Formats

- There have been a variety of tracing formats developed over the years and supported in different tools.
  - **Vampir**
    - *VTF*: family of historical ASCII and binary formats
  - **MPICH / JumpShot**
    - *ALOG, CLOG, SLOG, SLOG-2*
  - **Scalasca**
    - *EPILOG* (Jülich open-source trace format)
  - **Paraver** (BSC, CEPBA)
  - **TAU Performance System**
  - **Convergence on Open Trace Format (OTF)**
Profiling / Tracing Comparison

- **Profiling**
  - ☑ Finite, bounded performance data size
  - ☑ Applicable to both direct and indirect methods
  - ☹ Loses time dimension (not entirely)
  - ☹ Lacks ability to fully describe process interaction

- **Tracing**
  - ☑ Temporal and spatial dimension to performance data
  - ☑ Capture parallel dynamics and process interaction
  - ☑ Can derive parallel profiles for any time region
  - ☹ Some inconsistencies with indirect methods
  - ☹ Unbounded performance data size (large)
  - ☹ Complex event buffering and clock synchronization
Performance Analysis and Visualization

- Gathering performance data is not enough
- Need to analyze the data to derive performance understanding
- Need to present the performance information in meaningful ways for investigation and insight
- Single-experiment performance analysis
  - Identifies performance behavior within an execution
- Multi-experiment performance analysis
  - Compares and correlates across different runs to expose key factors and relationships
Performance Tools and Technologies

- It is never the case that performance tools are developed from scratch
- They depend on a range of technologies that can themselves be significant engineering efforts
  - Even simple conceptual things can be hard
- Most technologies deal with how to observe performance metrics or state

"If I have seen further it is by standing on the shoulders of giants."

- Sir Isaac Newton
Technologies

- Timers
- Counters
- Instrumentation
  - Source level
  - Library wrapping (PMPI)
  - Compiler instrumentation
  - Binary (Dyninst, PEBIL, MAQAO)
  - Runtime Interfaces
- Program address resolution
- Stack Walking
- Heterogeneous (accelerator) timers and counters
Time

- How is time measured in a computer system?
- How do we derive time from a clock?
- What clock/time technologies are available to a measurement system?
- How are clocks synchronized in a parallel computer in order to provide a “global time” common between nodes?
- Different technologies are available
  - Issues of resolution and accuracy
Timer: gettimeofday()

- UNIX function
- Returns wall-clock time in seconds and microseconds
- Actual resolution is hardware-dependent
- Base value is 00:00 UTC, January 1, 1970
- Some implementations also return the timezone

```c
#include <sys/time.h>

struct timeval tv;
double walltime; /* seconds */

gmtimeofday(&tv, NULL);
walltime = tv.tv_sec + tv.tv_usec * 1.0e-6;
```
Timer: clock_gettime()

- POSIX function
- For clock_id CLOCK_REALTIME it returns wall-clock time in seconds and nanoseconds
- More clocks may be implemented but are not standardized
- Actual resolution is hardware-dependent

```c
#include <time.h>

struct timespec tv;
double walltime; /* seconds */

Clock_gettime(CLOCK_REALTIME, &tv);
walltime = tv.tv_sec + tv.tv_nsec * 1.0e-9;
```
Timer: getrusage()

- UNIX function
- Provides a variety of different information
  - Including user time, system time, memory usage, page faults, and other resource use information
  - Information provided system-dependent!

```c
#include <sys/resource.h>

struct rusage ru;
double usrt ime; /* seconds */
int memused;

getrusage(RUSAGE_SELF, &ru);
usrt ime = ru.ru_utime.tv_sec +
    ru.ru_utime.tv_usec * 1.0e-6;
memused = ru.ru_maxrss;
```
Timer: Others

- MPI provides portable MPI wall-clock timer
  ```c
#include <mpi.h>
double walltime; /* seconds */

walltime = MPI_Wtime();
  ```
  - Not required to be consistent/synchronized across ranks!

- OpenMP 2.0 also provides a library function
  ```c
#include <omp.h>
double walltime; /* seconds */

walltime = omp_get_wtime();
  ```

- Hybrid MPI/OpenMP programming?
  - Interactions between both standards (yet) undefined
**Timer: Others**

- Fortran 90 intrinsic subroutines
  - `cpu_time()`
  - `system_clock()`

- Hardware counter libraries typically provide “timers” because underlying them are cycle counters
  - Vendor APIs
    - PMAPI, HWPC, libhpm, libpfm, libperf, …
  - PAPI (Performance API)
**What Are Performance Counters**

- Extra processor logic inserted to count specific events
- Updated at every cycle (or when some event occurs)
- **Strengths**
  - Non-intrusive
  - Very accurate
  - Low overhead
- **Weaknesses**
  - Provides only hard counts
  - Specific for each processor
  - Access is not appropriate for the end user
    - nor is it well documented
  - Lack of standard on what is counted
Hardware Counter Issues

- Kernel level
  - Handling of overflows
  - Thread accumulation
  - Thread migration
  - State inheritance
  - Multiplexing
  - Overhead
  - Atomicity

- Multi-platform interfaces
  - Performance API (PAPI)
    - University of Tennessee, USA
  - Lightweight Performance Tools (LIKWID)
    - University of Erlangen, Germany
**Hardware Measurement**

- Typical measured events account for:
  - Functional units status
    - float point operations
    - fixed point operations
    - load/stores
  - Access to memory hierarchy
  - Cache coherence protocol events
  - Cycles and instructions counts
  - Speculative execution information
    - instructions dispatched
    - branches mispredicted
## Hardware Metrics

- **Typical hardware counter**
  - Cycles / Instructions
  - Floating point instructions
  - Integer instructions
  - Load/stores
  - Cache misses
  - Cache misses
  - Cache misses
  - TLB misses

- **Useful derived metrics**
  - IPC
  - FLOPS
  - computation intensity
  - instructions per load/store
  - load/stores per cache miss
  - cache hit rate
  - loads per load miss
  - loads per TLB miss

- Derived metrics allow users to correlate the behavior of the application to hardware components.

- Define threshold values acceptable for metrics and take actions regarding optimization when below/above thresholds.
Accuracy Issues

- Granularity of the measured code
  - If not sufficiently large enough, overhead of the counter interfaces may dominate
  - Mainly applies to time

- Pay attention to what is not measured:
  - Out-of-order processors
  - Sometimes speculation is included
  - Lack of standard on what is counted
    - microbenchmarks can help determine accuracy of the hardware counters

- Impact of measurement on counters themselves
  - Typically less of an issue
Hardware Counters Access on Linux

- Linux had not defined an out-of-the-box interface to access the hardware counters!
  - Linux Performance Monitoring Counters Driver (PerfCtr) by Mikael Pettersson from Uppsala X86 + X86-64
    - needs kernel patching!
    - [http://user.it.uu.se/~mikpe/linux/perfctr/](http://user.it.uu.se/~mikpe/linux/perfctr/)
  - Perfmon by Stephane Eranian from HP – IA64
    - it was being evaluated to be added to Linux

- Linux 2.6.31
  - Performance Counter subsystem provides an abstraction of special performance counter hardware registers
Utilities to Count Hardware Events

- There are utilities that start a program and at the end of the execution provide overall event counts
  - `hpmcount` (IBM)
  - `CrayPat` (Cray)
  - `pfmon` from HP (part of Perfmon for AI64)
  - `psrun` (NCSA)
  - `cputrack, har` (Sun)
  - `perfex, ssrun` (SGI)
  - `perf` (Linux 2.6.31)
PAPI – Performance API

- Middleware to provide a consistent and portable API for the performance counter hardware in microprocessors
- Countable events are defined in two ways:
  - Platform-neutral *preset* events
  - Platform-dependent *native* events
- Presets can be derived from multiple native events
- Two interfaces to the underlying counter hardware:
  - *High-level* interface simply provides the ability to start, stop and read the counters for a specified list of events
  - Low-level interface manages hardware events in user defined groups called *EventSets*
- Events can be multiplexed if counters are limited

http://icl.cs.utk.edu/papi/
High Level API

- Meant for application programmers wanting simple but accurate measurements
- Calls the lower level API
- Allows only PAPI preset events
- Eight functions:
  - `PAPI_num_counters`
  - `PAPI_start_counters`, `PAPI_stop_counters`
  - `PAPI_read_counters`
  - `PAPI_accum_counters`
  - `PAPI_flops`
  - `PAPI_flips`, `PAPI_ipc` (New in Version 3.x)
- Not thread-safe (Version 2.x)
Low Level API

- Increased efficiency and functionality over the high level PAPI interface
- 54 functions
- Access to native events
- Obtain information about the executable, the hardware, and memory
- Set options for multiplexing and overflow handling
- System V style sampling (profil())
- Thread safe
Component PAPI

- Developed for the purpose of extending counter sets while providing a common interface.
Source Instrumentation with Timers

- Measuring performance using timers requires instrumentation
  - Have to uniquely identify code region (name)
  - Have to add code for timer start and stop
  - Have to compute delta and accumulate statistics

- Hand-instrumenting becomes tedious very quickly, even for small software projects

- Also a requirement for enabling instrumentation only when wanted
  - Avoids unnecessary overheads when not needed
Program Database Toolkit (PDT)

- Used to automate instrumentation of C/C++, Fortran source code
- Source code parser(s) identify blocks such as function boundaries, loop boundaries, …
- Instrumentor uses parse results to insert API calls into source code files at block enter/exit, outputs an instrumented code file
- Instrumented source passed to compiler
- Linker links application with measurement library
- Free download: http://tau.uoregon.edu
**PDT Architecture**

- **Application / Library Source code**
- **Commercial grade frontend parsers**
- **Program database (PDB)**

- **Fortran**
- **C / C++**
- **EDG, Mutek, GNU**

**Tools**

- **DUCTAPE**
- **TAU Instrumentor**
PMPI – MPI Standard Profiling Interface

- The MPI (Message Passing Interface) standard defines a mechanism for instrumenting all API calls in an MPI implementation
- Each MPI_* function call is actually a weakly defined interface that can be re-defined by performance tools
- Each MPI_* function call eventually calls a corresponding PMPI_* function call which provides the expected MPI functionality
- Performance tools can redefine MPI_* calls
PMPI Example

- Original MPI_Send() definition:

```c
int __attribute__((weak))
MPI_Send(void *buf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int dest,
int tag, MPI_Comm comm) {
    PMPI_Send(buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm);
}
```

- Possible Performance tool definition:

```c
int MPI_Send(void *buf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int dest, int tag, MPI_Comm comm) {
    MYTOOL_Timer_Start("MPI_Send");
    PMPI_Send(buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm);
    MYTOOL_Timer_Stop("MPI_Send");
    MYTOOL_Message_Size("MPI_Send", count * sizeof(datatype));
}
```
Compiler Instrumentation

- Modern compilers provide the ability to instrument functions at compile time
- Can exclude files, functions
- GCC example:
  - `-finstrument-functions` parameter
  - Instruments function entry and exit(s)

```c
void __cyg_profile_func_enter (void *this_fn, void *call_site);
void __cyg_profile_func_exit  (void *this_fn, void *call_site);
```
Compiler Instrumentation – Tool Interface

- Measurement libraries have to implement those two functions:
  ```c
  void __cyg_profile_func_enter (void *this_fn, void *call_site);
  void __cyg_profile_func_exit  (void *this_fn, void *call_site);
  ```

- The function and call site pointers are instruction addresses

- How to resolve those addresses to source code locations?
  - Binutils: libbfd, libiberty (discussed later)
Binary Instrumentation

- Source Instrumentation not possible in all cases
  - Exotic / Domain Specific Languages (no parser support)
  - Pre-compiled system libraries
  - Utility libraries without source available
- Binary instrumentation modifies the existing executable and all libraries, adding user-specified function entry/exit API calls
- Can be done once, or as first step of execution
Binary Instrumentation: Dyninst API

- University of Wisconsin, University of Maryland
- Provides binary instrumentation for runtime code patching:
  - Performance Measurement Tools
  - Correctness Debuggers (efficient data breakpoints)
  - Execution drive simulations
  - Computational Steering

http://www.dyninst.org
Binary Instrumentation: PEBIL

- San Diego Supercomputing Center / PMaC group
- Static binary instrumentation for x86_64 Linux
- PEBIL = PMaC’s Efficient Binary Instrumentation for Linux/x86
- Lightweight binary instrumentation tool that can be used to capture information about the behavior of a running executable

http://www.sdsc.edu/PMaC/projects/pebil.html
Binary Instrumentation: MAQAO

- Modular Assembly Quality Analyzer and Optimizer
- Tool for analyzing and optimizing binary code
- Intel64 and Xeon Phi architectures supported
- Binary release only (for now)

http://maqao.org